Request for Offers (RFO) Addendum

RFO Number: RFO0142
Addendum Number: 1

Date of Addendum: 7/18/2016

Original Posting Due Date, Time: 7/22/2016, 4:30 PM CDT

Revised Due Date, Time: N/A

Title: MNsure Data Warehouse - Informatica Implementation Architect

SCOPE OF ADDENDUM

Question(s) and Answer(s) (duplicate questions have been combined)

Q: Why is this position being reposted? Is it because no acceptable candidate was identified in the original posting, because the selected candidate is being replaced, or some other reason?

If no suitable candidates were received for the RFO0127, can you give us a general idea of why the candidates submitted to that RFO were not acceptable? It will be good to know that so we can submit more qualified candidates for the new RFO.

Why is RFO 0127 being reposted? Did all the submitted candidates fall short? If so, what were they missing and how can we improve candidate submissions? Any information to help us provide a better candidate would be appreciated.

A: The winning candidate from RFO0127 had to withdraw from the engagement shortly before the start date for personal reasons. We attempted to move down the list but the top candidates were no longer available. Given the passage of time since the proposals had been due (2 months), we opted to cancel RFO0127 and repost in an attempt to refresh the candidate pool with highly qualified resources that would be available for the later project time frame.

A common reason why candidates fell short under RFO0127 was insufficient Informatica Architecture experience deploying the physical infrastructure.

Please note that we are starting afresh with the reposting so we have set aside the proposals submitted in response to RFO0127. If you wish to resubmit your original candidate for RFO0127 in response to RFO0142, you may do so, but you will need to (re)submit your proposal of that candidate by the proposal deadline set forth in RFO0142 in order for the candidate to be considered. Vendors remain limited to the submission of one (1) proposed candidate in response to this RFO.

This addendum shall become part of the RFO and should be returned with, or acknowledged in, the response to the RFO.

RESPONDER NAME:

SIGNATURE:

TITLE:

DATE: